

---

# Into That Darkness Gitta Sereny

---

*Into That Darkness*  
Gitta Sereny

Downloaded from  
[web1.enteraskincare.com](http://web1.enteraskincare.com)  
by Tiana & Eden

---

## INTO THAT DARKNESS BY GITTA SERENY: UNDERSTANDING THE MIND OF EVIL THROUGH A LANDMARK STUDY

---

When we try to comprehend the deepest horrors of the twentieth century, we often find ourselves asking a single, unsettling question: How could ordinary human beings become agents of such systematic cruelty? Few books have confronted this question with the same psychological insight and uncompromising honesty as ***Into That Darkness* Gitta Sereny**, a landmark work published in 1974. Sereny's extraordinary examination of Franz Stangl, the commandant of the Treblinka and Sobibor extermination camps, remains one of the most compelling and disturbing portraits of a Nazi perpetrator ever written. For students of history, psychology, and the sociology of evil, this text is not merely a historical document; it is a profound meditation on the nature of complicity, denial, and the human capacity for self-deception.

In this article, we will explore the origins, content, and lasting impact of Sereny's work, examining how she built a bridge between the reader and a man responsible for the deaths of nearly a million people. We will look at the author's remarkable interviewing technique, the ethical questions the book raises, and why *Into That Darkness* remains a vital resource for anyone

trying to understand the banality of evil in a modern context. Whether you are a long-time scholar or a newcomer to the subject, this deep dive will offer a fresh perspective on a book that refuses to let us look away.

## Who Was Gitta Sereny? The Journalist Behind the Investigation

Gitta Sereny (1921–2012) was a Hungarian-born British journalist and historian who dedicated much of her career to exploring the moral and psychological dimensions of atrocity. Unlike many historians who rely solely on archival evidence, Sereny believed in the power of direct conversation. Her approach was not to condemn from a safe distance but to sit face-to-face with perpetrators and try to understand—without excusing—how they came to commit unspeakable acts.

Before *Into That Darkness*, Sereny had already established her reputation with studies of child survivors and the Nazi euthanasia program. But it was her relationship with Franz Stangl that would define her career. She spent over seventy hours interviewing him in a Düsseldorf prison in 1971, just months before he died of heart failure. The result is a book that reads like a series of tense, intimate dialogues, punctuated by

Sereny's own reflections on what she heard.

## Franz Stangl: The Man Behind the Monster

To understand the book, one must first understand its subject. Franz Stangl was not a raving fanatic of the sort depicted in postwar propaganda. He was a quiet, meticulous police officer who rose through the ranks of the Nazi bureaucratic machine. After a stint at the euthanasia center Hartheim, where he helped kill disabled patients, he was transferred to Poland. In 1942, he became commandant of Sobibor, and later of Treblinka—the most lethal of the Operation Reinhard camps.

Under Stangl's command, an estimated 900,000 Jews were murdered. Yet when Sereny met him, he presented himself as a man who had merely done his job, a cog in a machine. He insisted he felt no hatred for his victims and that he had tried to “make the process more humane” by speeding up the killing—an absurd claim that Sereny gently but persistently dismantles. Through their conversations, readers witness the gradual crumbling of Stangl's carefully constructed self-deception.

## The Structure of Into That

## Darkness

Sereny organizes her book not as a straightforward biography but as a narrative that oscillates between Stangl's testimony and her own historical and psychological analysis. The book is divided into three main sections:

- **The Background:** Sereny outlines the rise of Nazism, Stangl's early life in Austria, and his career as a policeman. She also introduces the reader to the euthanasia program that served as a precursor to the Holocaust.
- **The Camps:** Here she reconstructs the daily reality of Treblinka and Sobibor, using Stangl's own words alongside testimonies from survivors and other perpetrators. This section is the book's core, documenting the gas chambers, the disposal of bodies, and the psychological toll on the killers themselves.
- **The Aftermath:** After the war, Stangl escaped to Brazil via the “ratlines,” living under his own name until he was tracked down by Nazi hunter Simon Wiesenthal in 1967. Sereny covers his arrest, extradition, and trial, as well as her own final interviews with him as he faced his own mortality.

What makes the book extraordinary is not just the detail but Sereny's method. She does not let Stangl's evasions stand. For example, when he claims that he was merely obeying orders, she asks him why he never requested a transfer. When he says he felt trapped, she points out that other officers did resign or refuse. Her questions are polite but relentless, and they gradually strip away

the layers of rationalization.

# The Psychology of Evil: Key Themes in the Book

Several themes emerge from Sereny's work that continue to resonate today:

## THE BANALITY OF EVIL VS. THE QUESTION OF GUILT

Many readers draw parallels between *Into That Darkness* and Hannah Arendt's concept of the "banality of evil," developed in *Eichmann in Jerusalem*. Stangl certainly appears banal—a grey, middle-aged bureaucrat who speaks of mass murder in the same tone one might use to describe a factory assembly line. But Sereny pushes beyond Arendt's formulation. She shows that Stangl's evil was not just thoughtlessness; it was a conscious choice to ignore the humanity of others. When Sereny asks him whether he ever felt pity, he replies that he "had to suppress it." This is not the mindlessness of a mere functionary. It is active self-deception.

## THE ROLE OF IDEOLOGY

Stangl was not a committed Nazi in the way that Hitler's inner circle was. He joined the party out of career ambition, not conviction. Yet Sereny demonstrates that he internalized the regime's dehumanizing rhetoric. Jews were referred to as "cargo" or "pieces." By adopting the language of the system, Stangl made the unthinkable thinkable. The book thus serves as a warning about

how language shapes moral boundaries.

## COMPLICITY AND CONSENSUS

Sereny also examines the social dynamics among the camp staff. Stangl describes the drinking parties, the affairs, and the camaraderie that helped the killers cope. He admits that he "did not want to be the odd one out." This pressure to conform—so familiar in everyday life—was amplified in the closed world of a death camp. The book forces us to ask: Would we have acted differently in his place? Sereny does not answer this question directly, but by presenting Stangl's humanity alongside his crimes, she makes the question unavoidable.

## THE INTERVIEWING TECHNIQUE: HOW SERENY ELICITED TRUTH

One of the most cited aspects of *Into That Darkness* is Sereny's interviewing technique. She did not adopt the role of an interrogator or a prosecutor. Instead, she presented herself as a curious, nonjudgmental listener—a stance that some critics later deemed too sympathetic. Yet Sereny argued that only by creating a safe space could she get beyond Stangl's defenses. She allowed him to tell his story without interruption, then slowly introduced contradictions from other sources.

For instance, when Stangl claimed that Treblinka was "a well-run camp," Sereny produced survivor accounts that described chaos, cruelty, and the stench of decomposing bodies. She did not accuse him of lying; she simply asked him to reconcile his account with theirs. Over time, Stangl began to crack. In one of the book's most memorable passages, he admits for the first time that he felt guilt—but immediately adds that he

“had to suppress it.” Sereny captures this moment with devastating restraint.

## Criticisms and Ethical Debates

Despite its acclaim, *Into That Darkness* has not been without controversy. Some critics argue that Sereny gave Stangl too much space to excuse himself. By engaging with his rationalizations so meticulously, she risked humanizing the perpetrator at the expense of the victims. Others question whether such interviews can ever be truly objective. Sereny herself acknowledged the moral ambiguity of her work, but she maintained that understanding was not the same as forgiveness.

Another criticism relates to the limits of her method. Stangl never fully confessed; he continued to assert that he was a victim of circumstance. Some scholars of the Holocaust argue that Sereny’s psychological approach underestimates the role of antisemitic ideology. Stangl may have claimed he was not a Jew-hater, but his actions suggest otherwise. The book, therefore, leaves readers with an uncomfortable tension: we understand Stangl better, but we do not necessarily understand *why* he did what he did.

---

### THE LEGACY OF INTO THAT DARKNESS: WHY IT MATTERS TODAY

---

Nearly fifty years after its publication, *Into That Darkness* remains one of the most influential works in the study of genocide. It has been used in university courses on ethics, psychology, and history. It has also been cited in debates about the nature of evil, the psychology

of perpetrators, and the ethics of interviewing criminals.

## Influence on Subsequent Studies

The book inspired a generation of researchers to adopt similar methods. Historians like Christopher Browning (*Ordinary Men*) and psychologists like James Waller (*Becoming Evil*) have built on Sereny’s insights, exploring how situational factors and group dynamics turn ordinary people into killers. Her work also paved the way for later studies of other perpetrators, such as the interviews with Auschwitz doctor Josef Mengele’s associates or the research on Rwandan génocidaires.

## Relevance to Contemporary Events

While the Holocaust is a unique historical event, the psychological mechanisms Sereny describes are universal. The book helps us understand how individuals can participate in atrocities in any era—whether in the killing fields of Cambodia, the ethnic cleansing in Bosnia, or the state-sanctioned violence in modern autocracies. Stangl’s combination of careerism, compartmentalization, and moral cowardice is a warning to every generation.

Furthermore, in an age of digital echo chambers and dehumanizing rhetoric,

*Into That Darkness* is a powerful reminder of the danger of ideological language. When we reduce groups of people to abstract threats, we take the first step toward justifying their suffering. Sereny's book challenges us to resist that process, to see the humanity over in those we are tempted to dismiss as monsters.

## CONVERSATION

### ESSENTIAL TAKEAWAYS FOR READERS

If you are new to the book, here are some key points to keep in mind:

1. **It is not a comfortable read.**  
Sereny does not offer easy answers. She forces the reader to sit with moral ambiguity.
2. **The focus is on the perpetrator, not the victim.**  
This can be unsettling, but it reveals how perpetrators think—a perspective that is often missing in Holocaust literature.
3. **It is a work of journalism, not fiction.** Every conversation is recorded and cross-referenced. Sereny's own presence is part of the narrative; she does not pretend to be invisible.
4. **The book is both a historical document and a psychological case study.** It provides detailed information about Treblinka and Sobibor while also exploring Stangl's internal world.

### 5. It has sparked ongoing debate.

Readers may disagree with Sereny's approach, but that disagreement is itself productive. The book invites critical thinking.

## CONCLUSION: THE UNFINISHED

Gitta Sereny's *Into That Darkness* is not a book that provides closure. It ends not with a verdict but with an image of Stangl, still clutching his version of events, still unable to fully accept responsibility. In one of the final interviews, Sereny asks him whether he thinks he could have done something differently. He hesitates and then says, "No, no, no. This is the point. I could not." His denial, even in the face of death, is the book's most haunting message.

Yet for the reader, the conversation does not end. Sereny's work lives on as a challenge to our own moral complacency. It asks us to examine the small compromises we make, the euphemisms we use, the ways we distance ourselves from uncomfortable truths. **Into That Darkness Gitta Sereny** remains a testament to the power of careful listening—and a caution that the darkness inside each of us is never as far away as we would like to believe. For anyone seeking to understand the Holocaust at its deepest psychological level, this book