

# The Anxiety Of Influence

The Anxiety Of Influence

Downloaded from [web1.enteraskincare.com](http://web1.enteraskincare.com) by Branson & Tiana

## INTRODUCTION: THE WEIGHT OF CREATIVE ANCESTRY

Every writer, artist, or creator has faced that quiet, unsettling moment when they encounter a work so brilliant, so complete, that it seems to leave no room for anything new. It is not merely admiration that stirs in that moment, but something deeper and more troubling. It is a sense of belatedness, a feeling that everything worth saying has already been said, and that the great masters of the past have already claimed all the territory worth claiming. This phenomenon, named and explored in depth by the literary critic Harold Bloom in his 1973 book, is known as **The Anxiety Of Influence**. Far from being a simple case of jealousy or envy, this concept describes a profound and unavoidable struggle that every ambitious creative person must face: the struggle to find one's own voice in the shadow of overwhelming predecessors. Understanding this anxiety is not just an academic exercise; it is essential for anyone seeking to create work that is both original and meaningful in a world already rich with art and ideas.

The theory of **The Anxiety Of Influence** fundamentally challenges the romantic notion of the artist as a solitary genius creating ex nihilo. Instead, it presents a more complex and fraught reality: every poet, writer, and artist is engaged in a lifelong wrestling match with the giants who came before. This struggle is not optional, and it is not a sign of weakness. Rather, it is the very engine of creative evolution. By understanding how this anxiety operates, creators can transform a paralyzing fear into a productive force, learning not to imitate their influences but to **misread** them creatively. This article will explore the origins of Bloom's theory, its six key mechanisms, its relevance beyond poetry, and practical ways to navigate the creative inheritance that every artist must claim.

## HAROLD BLOOM AND THE BIRTH OF A THEORY

To truly grasp **The Anxiety Of Influence**, one must first understand its creator. Harold Bloom was one of the most formidable and controversial literary critics of the twentieth century. A scholar of Romantic poetry, Bloom was deeply immersed in the works of Shakespeare, Milton, Wordsworth, Shelley, and the great poets of the Western canon. He noticed a recurring pattern in the lives and works of these poets. Time and again, a young poet would begin by idolizing a precursor, only to later feel stifled and overwhelmed by that same influence. The precursor's voice was so powerful, so commanding, that it seemed to leave the younger poet with nothing original to say.

# The Central Premise: Strength Over Weakness

Bloom's central argument is bold and counter-intuitive. He asserts that **strong poets** are defined not by their ability to pay homage to their influences, but by their ability to **misread** them in powerful and creative ways. A weak poet, by contrast, simply imitates, producing work that is derivative and forgettable. The strong poet engages in a kind of creative warfare, deliberately distorting and reinterpreting the work of the precursor in order to carve out a unique space for themselves. This is not a conscious act of malice, but rather a deep, psychological necessity. The poet must, in a sense, kill the influence of the father figure in order to become a father themselves. This is the heart of **The Anxiety Of Influence**: the struggle to achieve originality in a field where the greatest works seem to have already been written.

## THE SIX REVISIONARY RATIOS: THE MECHANISMS OF CREATIVE STRUGGLE

Bloom did not simply identify the problem; he also mapped out its mechanics. In his book, he outlines six **revisionary ratios**, which are essentially the strategies that poets use to cope with and overcome the influence of their predecessors. These are not rigid formulas but rather poetic patterns that Bloom observed throughout literary history. Each ratio represents a different way of engaging with, and ultimately subverting, the power of an earlier work. Let us explore each of these six strategies in turn.

# 1. Clinamen: The Creative Misprision or Swerve

The term **clinamen** is borrowed from the Roman poet Lucretius, who used it to describe the unpredictable swerve of atoms. For Bloom, this is the most fundamental of the revisionary ratios. A young poet begins by reading a precursor's work with admiration. However, they soon feel that the precursor's work is incomplete or has taken the wrong path. The young poet then makes a **swerve**, a deliberate correction, claiming that the precursor did not go far enough or see the full truth. This act of misprision allows the later poet to present their own work as a necessary completion or correction of the earlier work. It is a way of saying, "I admire you, but you were wrong, and I am here to set things right." This swerve is the first and most crucial step towards creative independence.

# 2. Tessera: Completion and Antithesis

The second ratio, **tessera**, takes its name from the small piece of pottery that completes a mosaic. In this strategy, the later poet reads the precursor's work as being incomplete, like a mosaic that needs one final piece. The later poet then provides that missing piece, but in doing so, they radically change the meaning of the entire work. It is not a simple continuation, but an **antithetical completion**. The later poet claims to be fulfilling the precursor's vision, but in reality, they are twisting it into something new and their own. This is a more subtle form of rebellion, one that masquerades as homage while being deeply subversive.

# 3. Kenosis: The Emptying Out

Derived from the Greek word for "emptying," **kenosis** is a strategy of humility and self-abnegation. The later poet deliberately empties themselves of their own poetic powers, appearing to become weak, ordinary, or even vulgar. This is a defensive maneuver. By repudiating their own strength, they also empty the precursor of their power. The later poet might adopt a more conversational, prosaic style, or a deliberately crude and raw form of expression. This apparent weakness is actually a tactical retreat, allowing the poet to disavow the sublime heights of the precursor and claim a new kind of authenticity. It is a way of saying, "I am not trying to compete with you on your terms; I am playing a different game altogether."

# 4. Daemonization: The Counter-Sublime

The fourth ratio, **daemonization**, involves the later poet invoking a power older and greater than the precursor. This is often done by aligning oneself with an archaic, mythical, or "daemonic" force that dwarfs the precursor's own inspiration. The later poet might claim that their work is channeling a more primitive, more universal source of creativity. By doing so, they transform the precursor from a towering figure into a mere mortal who was only partially connected to this greater force. This is a powerful move because it does not directly attack the precursor's work; instead, it changes the entire framework in which that work is judged. The later poet ascends to a higher, more sublime plane, leaving the precursor behind.

# 5. Askesis: The Self-Purgation

The fifth ratio, **askesis**, is one of solitude and self-discipline. The later poet deliberately curtails their own powers, stripping away certain abilities or themes in order to achieve a state of purer concentration. This is not the same as the emptying of kenosis. Rather, it is a rigorous act of self-limitation. The poet might abandon certain ambitions, reject certain stylistic flourishes, or focus on a narrow range of subjects. This self-purgation is performed in order to stand alone, separate from the precursor. It is a way of saying, "I will not compete with you on all fronts; instead, I will master a single, narrow field that is mine alone." This ascetic discipline can lead to a unique and powerful voice, forged through limitation.

# 6. Apophrades: The Return of the Dead

The final and most ambitious ratio is **apophrades**, which refers to the Athenian days when the dead were said to return to their homes. In this stage, the later poet's work is so powerful and original that it seems to have been written before the precursor's work. The later poet has so thoroughly absorbed and transformed the precursor's influence that the tables are turned. Now, when we read the precursor, we see echoes of the later poet. The influence flows backward in time. This is the ultimate victory of **The Anxiety Of Influence**. The later poet has not merely surpassed the precursor; they have, in a sense, become the precursor themselves. Their work casts a new light on the past, redefining the entire tradition.

## BEYOND POETRY: THE ANXIETY OF INFLUENCE IN OTHER CREATIVE FIELDS

While Bloom developed his theory specifically for poetry, its applications are far broader. The struggle with influence is a universal feature of creative life. Painters, musicians, filmmakers, architects, and writers of all kinds face the same fundamental anxiety. How does a filmmaker today create a western that does not feel like a copy of John Ford or Sergio Leone? How does a composer write a symphony that does not echo Beethoven or Mahler? How does a novelist tell a love story without being haunted by Jane Austen or Gabriel Garcia Marquez?

The six revisionary ratios can be observed across all these fields. A young filmmaker might use **clinamen** by making a western that deliberately subverts the heroic tropes of the genre, presenting instead a bleak, revisionist take. A musician might use **tessera** by building on the harmonic structures of a predecessor but completing them in a wholly unexpected way. A painter might use **kenosis** by abandoning the grand, painterly style of their influences and adopting a deliberately rough or childlike aesthetic. The anxiety is everywhere, and the strategies for overcoming it are just as universal. Recognizing this commonality can be deeply liberating for creators, as it normalizes the struggle and transforms it from a personal failing into a shared creative challenge.

NAVIGATING THE ANXIETY: PRACTICAL INSIGHTS FOR CREATORS

Understanding **The Anxiety Of Influence** is one thing; learning to live with it is another. For the contemporary creator, the anxiety can be especially acute. We live in an age of unprecedented access to the entire history of art and culture. With a few clicks, we can summon the greatest works of literature, music, and visual art from any era. This abundance, while wonderful, can also be paralyzing. The weight of tradition seems heavier than ever. However, there are practical ways to approach this challenge.

Embrace the Struggle as Necessary

The first and most important insight is that the anxiety is not a pathology; it is a sign of ambition. If you do not feel **The Anxiety Of Influence**, it may be because you are not truly engaging with the giants of your field. The struggle is a necessary part of growth. It means you have set your sights high. Do not try to eliminate the anxiety; instead, learn to work with it. Let it push you to dig deeper, to think more critically about your own work, and to find the unique angle that only you can provide.

Read Generously, then Write Boldly

Bloom's theory is often mistaken for a call to hostility, but it is better understood as a call to creative audacity. The goal is not to hate your influences but to **misread** them productively. Engage deeply with the works you admire. Study them closely. But do not stop there. Ask yourself: what was left unsaid? What path was not taken? Where did this work fall short of its own ambitions? Your answers to these questions are the seeds of your own originality. Read your influences generously, learning everything you can from them, but then write or create with boldness, ready to swerve, complete, or even overturn what you have learned.

Find Your Own Precursors

One of the most empowering aspects of Bloom's theory is the idea that you can choose your own influences. You are not bound to the canonical giants if they do not serve you. Perhaps your true precursors are minor figures, or artists from a different field, or